2/28/2014 2:34:03 PM
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza
District Clerk
Travis County
D-1-GN-11-003130

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-003130

THE TEXAS TAXPAYER & STUDENT FAIRNESS COALITION, et al; CALHOUN COUNTY ISD, et al; EDGEWOOD ISD, et al; FORT BEND ISD, et al.,	§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT § § § § §
Plaintiffs,	
JOYCE COLEMAN, et al., Intervenors,	
vs.	§ TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS §
MICHAEL WILLIAMS, COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY; SUSAN COMBS, TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS, IN HER OFFICIAL	
CAPACITY; TEXAS STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, Defendants.	§ 200 th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

EDGEWOOD I.S.D. PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF FILING

Pursuant to the agreement of the parties and authorization by the Court, the Plaintiffs Edgewood I.S.D., et. al. ("Edgewood ISD Plaintiffs"), hereby give notice of the filing of the attached Supplemental Affidavit of Roger L. Rice, as proof of their claim for attorney's fees in the above styled matter.

Plaintiffs Edgewood I.S.D., et. al. respectfully request that they be awarded their reasonable attorney's fees as set forth in the attached Affidavit.

DATED: February 28, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

David G. Hinojosa State Bar No. 24010689 Marisa Bono State Bar No. 24052874 Celina Moreno State Bar No. 24074754 110 Broadway, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205 (210) 224-5476 (210) 224-5382 Fax

By: /s/ David G Hinojosa
David G. Hinojosa

Multicutaral, Education, Training and Advocacy, Inc.

Roger L. Rice*
Box 440245
Somerville, MA 02144
Ph: (617) 628-2226
Fax: (617) 628-0322
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Edgewood ISD, et al., Yolanda Canales, Arturo Robles, Araceli Vasquez, and Jessica Romero, Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

By my signature below, I certify that on February 28, 2013, I served the foregoing

document via electronic mail to all the other parties listed below:

GREG ABBOTT

Attorney General of Texas

DANIEL T. HODGE

First Assistant Attorney General

DAVID C. MATTAX

Deputy Attorney General for Defense Litigation

ROBERT B. O'KEEFE

Chief, General Litigation Division

SHELLEY N. DAHLBERG

Assistant Attorney General Texas

Texas Attorney General's Office

General Litigation Division

P. 0. Box 12548, Capitol Station

Austin, Texas 78711

Fax: (512) 320-0667

Attorneys for Defendants

Mark R. Trachtenberg

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

1 Houston Center

1221 McKinney St., Suite 2100

Houston, Texas 77010

Fax: (713) 547-2600

John W. Turner

HAYES AND BOONE, LLP

2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700

Dallas, Texas 752 🕥

Fax: (214) 651-5240

Richard Gray

Toni Hunter

GRAY & BECKER, P.C.

900 West Ave.

Austin, Texas 78701

Fax: (512) 482-0924

Randall B. Wood

Doug W. Pav

RAY & WOOD

2700 Poe Caves Road #200

Ausar, Texas 78746

Fax: (512) 328-1156

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Texas Taxpayer &

Student Fairness Coalition, et al.

J. David Thompson, III

Philip Fraissinet

THOMPSON & HORTON, LLP

Phoenix Tower, Suite 2000

3200 Southwest Freeway

Houston, Texas 77027

Fax: (713) 583-9668

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Fort Bend I.S.D.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Calhoun County I.S.D., et al.

J. Christopher Diamond The Diamond Law Firm, P.C. 17484 Northwest Freeway

Ste. 150

Houston, Texas 77040 Fax: (832) 201-9262

Craig T. Enoch Melissa A. Lorber Enoch Kever PLLC 600 Congress, Ste. 2800 Austin, Texas 78701 Fax: (512) 615-1198

Attorneys for Intervenors, Joyce Coleman, et al.

Robert A. Schulman Joseph E. Hoffer Ricardo R. Lopez 517 Soledad Street San Antonio, Texas 78205-1508 Telephone: (210) 538-5385 Facsimile: (210) 538-5384

Attorneys for Texas Charter Schools Association, et al.

s/David G. Hinojosa David G. Hinojosa

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-03130

TEXAS PAYER & STUDENT FAIRNESS COALTION, et al.,	§ 8	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$ \$	
EDGEWOOD INDEPENDENT SCHOOL	- 8 - 8	
DISTRICT, et al., (consolidated)	§	
Plaintiffs,	§ §	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
V.	8 § 8	
MICHAEL WILLIAMS, in his Official	8	. 01
Capacity as the COMMISSIONER OF	8	70
EDUCATION, et al.,	§	*
Defendants.	8	250TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF ROGER L. RICE

NOW COMES Roger L. Rice, co-counsel for Edgewood Plaintiffs in the above entitled matter and hereby swears and affirms the following:

- I am co-counsel with the attorneys of record from MALDEF on behalf of the Edgewood Plaintiffs in the above referenced matter. On March 5, 2013 we filed my original Affidavit ("March 5 Aff-dvavit") together with the Affidavit of David G. Hinojosa and our Notice of Filing. Fugewood Plaintiffs filed an Amended Affidavit of David G. Hinojosa on March 12, 2013.
- 2. The March 5 Affidavit (attached as Exhibit B to this affidavit) included a detailed spreadsheet showing the work performed on this case by myself and Attorney Miguel Perez Vargas up to February 23, 2013. It also included a detailed description of my educational background and legal experience in school finance litigation going back to the original Edgewood v. Kirby case in the 1980s and why the work performed was necessary to the outcome of the case and

the award sought was "reasonable and just". See Exs. B, C. I will not repeat the entirety of the March 5 Affidavit but would rely upon it as incorporated herein.

- 3. On February 4, 2013 the Court issued its ruling in this matter. In part the Court ruled that the Edgewood Plaintiffs had prevailed in their claim that the current school finance system is financially and quantitatively inefficient and constitutionally unsuitable for the provision of a general diffusion of knowledge for economically disadvantaged (ED) and English Language Learner (ELL) students under Article VII, §1 of the Texas Constitution and that low property wealth districts no longer had meaningful discretion in setting their tax rates and hence faced a statewide ad valorem tax.
- 4. As set out in my original affidavit, META Inc. was co-counsel on all aspects of the case and played a lead role in those aspects that concerned 5 lingual education and the education of limited English proficient students. Subsequent to the filing of our original Affidavit our office continued in several roles.
- 5. First, I continued to consult with lead counsel David Hinojosa on all aspects of the case and continued to review and comment on all filings by the Edgewood Plaintiffs and other parties. During the period initially following the Court's ruling, I reviewed all transcripts and other trial documents in preparation for the filing of draft Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, particularly those pertaining to ELL and ED students. Second, I reviewed and commented on Defendants' opposition to the plaintiffs' fee petitions and the plaintiffs' response thereto. Third, I consulted with co-counsel and reviewed all pleadings and strategies concerning the motion to reopen the evidence in the case following the end of the legislative session, including analyzing the results of that session and whether they impacted the Court's February 4 Ruling. This became a complex discussion with Defendants arguing that this matter became moot with the passage of certain legislation, requiring the examination of new legislation both on its face and also as to how it had or would impact the Edgewood districts. Fourth, after the Court decided to allow a hearing on the impact of the new legislation, our focus turned to assisting co-counsel in preparation for the hearing. Fifth, I represented

¹ An additional affidavit was filed on March 28 as Exhibit 3 to ISD Plaintiffs' Joint Reply to Defendants' Second Amended Response to Plaintiffs' and Intervenors' Request for Attorneys Fees and Objections To Defendants' Request for Attorneys' Fees. That affidavit, attached hereto as Exhibit C, clarified several timesheet entries to which the Defendants had objected.

Edgewood Plaintiffs at the deposition of Daniel Casey, which required the review of not only Mr. Casey's various expert reports but also those of Mr. Lynn Moak and other expert and lay witnesses whose testimony might reasonably relate to the Casey deposition. Sixth, I once again took the lead in writing and editing numerous Findings of Fact pertaining to ELL students, and also drafted a number of findings relating to ED students. including updating earlier findings on STAAR test performance and other measures of school success, measures of funding for bilingual, ESL and compensatory education programs, staffing measures and similar data. Finally, we consulted with co-counsel on the expert testimony of Dr. Zamora and the testimony of Edgewood Plaintiff districts regarding their programs for ELL and ED students and the impact thereon of the new legislation.

- 6. Attached as Exhibit A are my contemporaneous time records in connection with this case from February 24, 2013 to the present. In sum I spect an additional 113.65 hours subsequent and in addition to our March 5 filing. In the 113.65 hours I did not include substantial additional time which I believe would be fully compensable under applicable standards. For example, I conferred with lead co-counsel 122vid Hinojosa by telephone on a weekly basis for the approximately 50 weeks since Fel ruary 2013, yet I have claimed fewer than 4 hours of these consultations which amounted to more than 20 hours. I also spent considerable time which I do not claim preparing for the depositions or direct examinations of various experts listed by the Intervenors. It reviewed the various proposals propounded by the parties regarding the scheduling order for conducting the hearing on reopening the evidence for which no claim is being made. I also reviewed responses to discovery requests from various parties without claiming those hours. My best estimation is that there are at least an additional 50 hours of compensable work which I have eliminated from the current claim as an exercise of reasonable billing judgment.
- 7. The Narch 5 Affidavit sets forth my experience, including fee awards in the *West Orange Cove* case, for which we sought an hourly rate of \$420 for my work. I believe it is fair to apply the same hourly rate to the 113.65 hours set forth in the attached chart of supplemental hours post February 23, 2013 or a total of \$47,733 for the time covered by this Supplemental Affidavit. In sum, including the time expended and award of \$345,605.75 sought for the period through before February 23, 2013, META, Inc. is seeking a total award of \$393,338.75 in this matter.

8. Given the complexity of this matter and its importance, in addition to the reasons set forth in the March 5 Affidavit, I believe the additional award sought is "reasonable and just".

Judicial Contraction of the state of the sta

casonable and just".

Compared to the reasonable and just". 8. Given the complexity of this matter and its importance, in addition to the reasons set forth in

2/28/2014 2:34:03 PM Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza **District Clerk Travis County** D-1-GN-11-003130

Jinkiical copylistic delikule delikule

e en como esperante de la comerción de la come	et for Roger L. Rice	e de sante sange en en e e sy e e don e quya spara.
Texas Tax	Payer & Student Fairness Coalition and Edgewood I.S.D. et al v. Williams	5
n ne egane e an geredana en el de e el de esa es		magning many many magning and
201	3	
ebruary		
2	5 review trial transcript for 12/3	1.5
and the second s	review list of admitted exhibits	0.25
2	8 draft META fee affidavit, send to DH	2
	email to DH re: additional exhibit	0.1
ى مىلىدىدە ئىدىدە ئىدىدىن ئېلىكى ئېزىرى بىر . بىر _{. ب} ىر	review R. Gray fee affidavit	0.5
March		in come continue de con mesmo estas.
	4 review trial transcript for 12/4	1.25
garage control of a deserving control	5 review defendants' motion to designate fees expert and Calhoun Cty fee affidyt	1
	6 review C. Diamond fee affidavit and court ruling on plea to jurisdiction	0.5
Service of the Commission of t	7 review trial transcript for 12/6	1.5
an arang da an arang da arang	0 review draft of ELL and ED adequacy FOF and send edits to M.B	4
and the second second second second second second	1 review question from MB re: evidence on bilingual weights, review	
. 	evidence and reply to MB	
1	2 review MT letter to court with Pls. Final Judgment	2
فلنجم وحمار وأستنا والمتاري	4 review MB email re: additional cites needed for FOFs, find cites, caply	0.5
and the second second second	5 review Defendants response to Pls Request for Attorney Fers	0.3
and the state of t		
,	6 review updated Final FOF/COL from M.T.	ا م م م
engent for energy and the control of the color	8 review Diamond letter to court re: proposed FOF	0.25
فالمحمد ووصاحمون بالمحادث وردي	9 review Defendants' Amended Response to Pls. Fees Raquest	0.5
et de capacitamente estre capacit	1 review Defendants' 2nd Amended Reponse to Pls Fe⊖ Request	0.5
age a some and the early reduced in	4 draft reply to Defendants' 2nd Amended Responses o Pls Fees Request	1
a planta and a second contract	7 draft RR supplemented fee affidavit	1.5
	8 review and comment on Edgewood drafts of inserts into ISD Pls. Joint Reply	erroren oran oran oran
	to Defs. Second Amended Response to Pls. Fees Request	1
April		il
1	9 review trial transcript for 12/11	1.25
June		e for a process of a second or
1	8 review and comment on Edgewood Pls reponse to draft motion to reopen	1.5
	review Calhoun Cty motion to 1:open with spreadsheets on parties positions	1
	review Ft. Bend response in support of motion to reopen	0.25
1	9 review Defendants' advisory letter to court re: parties position and Order filed	0.25
July		1
1	1 review FBISD Pls. Six+ Amended Petition	0.5
1	2 review draft of િદ્યાડlation spreadsheet	0.25
ومنشه وصوعته فوافيته فواف والرموان والأثر	7 review Defendants' advisory to court with attached legislation	1
e a constitue de la constitue	review Defendants' 9th attachment to advisory	0.25
	9 review TTSF plaintiffs 8th Amended Petition	0.5
- Aug		
	2 review Dalberg letter to Judge Dietz	0.25
e en e tree i patrice total grant	review CCISD Pls. 2nd amended petition	0.23
	begin review of Court's Working Draft Final Judgment and FOF/COL	1.5
an i sa i i sa reportar termori	3 continue review of Court's Working Draft Final Judgment and FOF/COL	2.5
$\alpha = 10^{-1}$, which is a second constant of the property of the second	and framework and the contract of the contract	enila energene en
and the second second	7 review 3rd petition draft, send commements to DH	1.5
a la completa a la completa de la c	4 confer w. DH re: updating of bilingual/ESL FOFs and strategy	0.5
and the second s	2 confer w. DH re: court conference w. judge, redraft FOFs	0.6
2	3 review Dietz memorandum, compare WOC II findings	
	on ELLs, draft email to DH	

	6 review plaintiffs preliminary fact witness lists	0.25
a consequent president debut described in	7 review new draft of FOFs, draft ELL outline, send to DH	1
	8 review DH/MB comments, confer w. DH/MB re: outline	0.25
osymposia si pamamat bayanm	plaintiffs' atty call re: outline to present to court	0.5
	9 review outline, send comments to DH	0.5
and and a second se	review order on August 20 proceedings and letter to court from MT	0,5
	0 review def response to MT letter, def 2nd set of interrog, further DH	
The state of the s	proposed revisons to FOF/COL, Edgewood 2nd RFD to defs	0.75
and the second s	1 draft RR comments to DH re: proposed FOF/COL revisions	0.5
Sept	and the commence of the proposed to by societisation	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	2 review DH draft outline	0.1
, a communicações de communicações de com	3 review PIs Second RFD to Defs, Defs objections to Ft. Bend discovery	0.25
opo angenerar o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	4 review MT comments to DH proposed FOF/COL and T&H comments	0.5
ende encentral sentencial della del	5 review defs letter w. response to Ft. Bend discovery	0.3
, was a green word	6 review DH new comments on draft and reply	0.25
er Verennere errenteren stetter teter	conference w. plaintiffs' attornies to review latest draft of FOF	account to a survey that has a confident
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1
e Progres i secretare este prosto i est	0 review CCISD brief in support of reopening evidence	0.5
	review DH, MB proposed revisions to FOF/COL, comment	0.5
and the second of the second control	1 review and comment on further proposed FOF/COL suggestions from Edgewood	0.25
	2 research on use of data projections, reliability, review Edgewood 5 lef on	
No. Aca peracenaria	reopening evidence	1
	5 review def designation of fact witnesses	0.1
· [ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9 review DH notes for adequacy expert, draft response	0.25
on on the transport terms	confer w. DH re: ELL data	0.25
ara, papapara paramanan nagarawaya	1 review scheduling order submitted by Holly M	0.1
and a second contract of the second contract	3 review expert Zamora data outline, draft responses to DH	0.5
and the second second second second	4 review research on professional development for ELLs	2
and the second section of the second	7 review plea to jurisdiction filed by Eff Intv	0.5
والمراقب والمرافق وال	9 email to DH re: plea to jurisdiction, review response from DH	0.5
	O review Edgewood Resp. to Def. 2d set of Interrogatories and	0.15
and the second s	Edgewood Response to Def. RFD final	
and Branch and Water Print	review TTSFC Response to state Pof. 2nd set of Interrogatories	MARKET SAME THE STREET STREET SAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
Oct		
	1 review ISD Pls. motion to take it dicial notice and appendix	0.75
	review charter pls. discover, response	0.1
es de significación de la companión de la comp	review state defs. Response to Edgewood 2nd RFDs w. attachment	0.2
ene ingenerative con an ora con construction	2 review FBISD 4th Am. Response to RFD, FBISD Response to 2nd set of Interogs.	0.2
-	review CCISD, FBISD, TTSFC Pls. Response to state def First Req. to Court to	
reconstruction and a second design of the time.	Take Judicial Notice	
and product and the second second	review CCISD PL 2nd Request for Disclosure, objections and Responses to Defs.	0.1
eners seed goods to the state of the energy	2nd set of Interrogatories	e nega e nigh a nama arress negh a nega yeyeyi
ans executors a conservation	review TTSFC Response to RFD	0.1
and and and an analysis of the state of the	review Efficiency Intv. 3rd response to request for discovery	0.1
anamana and Africa e encor en e encor	review Edgewood Joinder to Response to Request for Judicial Notice	0.15
angeren enterna jeu	review Defs. Letter to opposing counsel re: response to RFDs	0.1
, decommendador estres e en estado de	3 review CCISD, FBISD, TTSFC Pls. Response to Efficiency Intv. Plea to Jurisdiction	0.2
yeny ny name	review Defs. Joinder of Intv. Pleas to Jursidiction w. exhibits and Defs. Response to	0.2
ing an experience of the contract of the first	iSD Pls. motion to take Judicial Notice	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
	review Defs. Response to Edg. Pls 2nd RFD Defs. Response to Tx. Tax Pls. 2nd RFD	0.15
na nilagraphica agus proper proper entre e	review Efficiency Intv. Motion to take Judicial Notice and Exhibits	0.15
ga sa	4 review Charter School Pls response to Plea to Jurisdiction of Efficiency Intv.	0.12
and the second	review Edgewood Pls. response to Intv. Plea to Jurisdiction	0.2

11	review amended complaints of TTSFC and FBISD plaint	iffs		0.25
	review CCISD, charter school plaintiff amended complaint		i di anterior sorrenamente di conserva te di conserva	0.25
13	review expert Adequacy draft			1.5
i em man managaran da	confer w. DH re: expert	num angenium tentr tenir ta antana en increada ante E	reference properties and a residue approximate	0.25
14	review latest draft and comments to DH	- and for an amount of the amount of	and a reason are reason as a reason and a reason of the second	0.4
page and a second second second second second	review Texas Taxpayer & Student Fairness Coalition Pl	aintiffs' First	Supplemental	0.1
and the state of t	Designation of Expert Witnesses		o o p p i o i i o i i o i i o i i o i i	
and a second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control o	review charter pls. expert report documents			0,5
	review Fort Bend ISD Plaintiffs' Second Supplemental	Decignation	of Evnert Rona	and a grain, an armenina como a fara entensa e caterior, contenta calcida
and a second contract of the second contract	with Mr. Moak and Mr. Casey's documents attached	Designation	or expert nepo	. L
g paggagagaga sa pagasa ang manananan sa ma	review CCISD Pls. Third Supplemental Designation of E	vnort Witnes	coc	0.25
<u></u>	review Edgewood Pls filings	Apere withes	1000	1.5
	review FBISD 3rd Supplemental Designation w. expert	Culwell rana	pri d	0.5
demonstration of the street part of	🎙 annon con ancagen con na natura en na en escara en escara en la en escara en en escara en en escara en en escara en en en escara en en en escara en en en en escara en	Culwell repo	I L	0.75
he en e é en	review Catherine Clark report and attachments		ļ	V./3
Nov		tana ang arawan a tan sa sa atawas sa ta'a		
, en	review CCISD 3rd interrogatories to defendants		- 43	0.2
company to the property of the control of the control of	review Efficiency Intv motion for temporary relief and	mandamus	- \O	
Contraction of the contraction of	review amended scheduling order			0.1
and the second s	confer w. DH re: experts, depositions, trial strategy		\ <del>\</del>	1
granda again again an ann an ann an again again ag	review Moak back up data			0.5
17	prepare for Casey deposition, review current and past	Casey report	ts	
harragania anteriore en general	and testimony		and of the second secon	1.5
na maniferación de conservación	email to DH and review response re: Casey			0.5
18	revise bilingual FOFs		1	2
19	continue revise bilingual FOFs	)		1.5
20	continue revise bilingual FOFs	ā 8.		2
27	finish revising bilingual FOFs, send to co-counsel			1.5
Dec	Co Co	1		
2	review new Wisnoski charts	bounder, Specialists to consist of control state. Here	The same care that the same same same same same same same sam	1
3	review Defs response to Edgewood discovery, email to	o DH		0.5
3	review corrected Moak data presentation, compare w	ith prior		1.5
4	defs deposition of Casey	tended de production de décembrant de metalle et de décembrant de la commencia de la commencia de la commencia		3.7
antiga ing water in open orbital and be	email of notes to DH and review DH on Martinez dep	Annual and Annual and an annual and an annual and		0.75
5	review Merrifield report data research methodology,	writings		2.5
9	draft FOF sections Economically disadvantaged	dada territoria de territoria de la composición del la composición del composición de la composición del composición del composición de la composición del composición del composición del composición del composición del composici	4	1.5
10	draft FOF sections Economically disadvantaged	Secretaria de la constitución de	a figures, em seu se mente en	2
and an arrangement of the contract of the second of the contract of the contra	confer w. DH re: ED FO'-3	to the second se		0.25
a page of the contract of the	draft FOF sections on bilingual, confer w. DH on these	FOFs	gastiga arang arang rapas arang arang T	
en grant anticometro est to the transfer to the	review draft FOL Send edits to DH	taliana kanana kana Taliana kanana kana	on Paraker are the transfer or the given	1
and the second second	conf call with plaintiff attornies re: FOFs	an madeira da mana mana an and		1.5
	review draft supplemental exhibit lists			0.25
2014			1	70 T Small
January				e de la comita de la comita de la companya de la c La companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c
	beginreview Pierce supplemental expert reports and o	iata		1.5
	complete Pierce review			1
the second control of	review defendants amended response to Calhoun Cty	ROG		0.25
ages a segar con contrator con contrator	review additional Pierce documents and exhibits		وماله اور با وماناه و مروبا المسالم <u>أ</u> رجر	υ,ευ. 1
reconstruction to the first of the second	review master exhibit lists	States experience on content or con-		0.5
	fan sûn hina wat in a wat in 18 wê in an in al an in a land an in a land an in a land an an in a said an a wat in a said an in a said an a wat in a land an in a said an a said			0.5
	review response to Motion to Strike, send edits	m)		
40	(add review Def Motion to Strike and read Zamora de	H1		2.5
alana manana menangan bahasa da	review and edit latest FOFs	in a superior of the superior		3
19	continue revise bilingual FOFs			1.5

aggregation of a region of a second decision of the contract of		)Ec				3		1 0
) ) )	continue editing of FC review Edgewood agr	with a street state of the second contract of the	lefendante :	re Zamora			char passes so di sentages nedesced de dide	1.5 0.25
66	review Edgewood agr	ilon moga ma a som a solor ar a cara a som as on	a a a a a como a de a a a como a terre terre a como a c	ic. Lamuid				and the second second second and the second
ga ga ga ga ga an an an an an an an Annananda a	review Cavazos, Harlin	i na Baratari na manatarrata panganagit telah	distributes and distribute eq. ( distribute per d	; gast anderlegens to the contribute density		: Cope and an annual control control		1
72	begin review of Wisho		and the same and t		in and the second		***************************************	and process of the second states were
Barriero, concernation and an extensive	complete review of W	en de la companya en	ante en en en en en en et e d'ante e en en en entre d'ante e en et	anna at decentate es cardon a dos colors at desarrance at	de como income en escara			2
44						is of annual grandens and annual receives	and the second s	0.75
	confer w. DH re: statu draft RLR fee affidavit	" aligno, continue a mana al material de la como a mana a la colo al man	mpits need	eu:	} }		e ang panghan ang pang-pang-pang-pang-pang-pang-pang-pang-	e a right common a a care for a conference consecution and specific common a
<u></u>	draft MALDEF fee affi	. , 5				. Alexandria de consensor de la consensor de l		1
20	A Service Company of the Company of		II da DII			. <del> </del>	, popular approximation and property of a state of the st	1 0 5
The experience of the second section of the second	review Def Exhibit 113	366 charts, e	email to DH	re: missing	data	3 4 4 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	6	0.5
February	A R A I T THE PERSON	e de la companya de l	Annykona - waa waxaa aa	PS 9 1		) 	6	0.5
4	draft MALDEF suppler	appearance in a series of a se	who the contract and the characters are surely as	************			and the same of the state of th	0.5
	confer w. DH re: LDF t	testimony, I	hursday tes	itimony, rel	buttal with	esses		0.5
Contract representation to the action								
			A		Total			<u>113.65</u>
				Š	C.			
				30°.	Č,			

2/28/2014 2:34:03 PM
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza
District Clerk
Travis County
D-1-GN-11-003130

Judicial Co. District Clerk Holico Prince Obstrict Clerk Holico Prince Obs

### CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-003130

THE TEXAS TAXPAYER & STUDENT FAIRNESS COALITION, et al; CALHOUN COUNTY ISD, et al;	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
EDGEWOOD ISD, et al;	8	
FORT BEND ISD, et al.,	8	<b>7</b> 1
TEXAS CHARTER SCHOOL	-\$	:0
ASSOCIATION, et al.	\$	
,	§ .	
Plaintiffs,	Š	
	Ş	
JOYCE COLEMAN, et al.,	§	
	§.	70
Intervenors,	8	*
	§:	7)
ys.	8	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
MICHAEL WILLIAMS, COMMISSIONER	\$	
OF EDUCATION, IN HIS OFFICIAL	3	
CAPACITY; SUSAN COMBS,	§:	
TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC	§.	
ACCOUNTS, IN HER OFFICIAL	·§	
CAPACITY; TEXAS STATE BOARD	\$\times \times \	
OF EDUCATION,	§	
Defendants.	8	250th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

## NOTICE OF FILING

Pursuant to the egreement of the parties and authorization by the Court, the Plaintiffs Edgewood I.S.D., et al. ("Edgewood ISD Plaintiffs"), hereby give notice of the filing of the attached Affidavit of Roger Rice, as proof of their claim for attorney's fees in the above styled matter.

Plaintiffs Edgewood I.S.D., et. al. respectfully request that they be awarded their reasonable attorney's fees as set forth in the attached Affidavit.

DATED: March 5, 2013 Respectfully Submitted,

# Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

David G. Hinojosa State Bar No. 24010689 Marisa Bono State Bar No. 24052874 Rebecca M. Couto da Silva State Bar No. 24082473 110 Broadway, Suite 300 San Antonio, Texas 78205 (210) 224-5476 (210) 224-5382 Fax

By: /s/ David C Hinojosa
David G. Hinojosa

# Multicultural, Education, Training and Advocacy, Inc.

Foger L. Rice*
240A Elm Street, Suite 22
Somerville, MA 02144
Ph: (617) 628-2226
Fax: (617) 628-0322
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Edgewood ISD, et al., Yolanda Canales, Arturo Robles, Araceli Vasquez, and Jessica Romero, Plaintiffs

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

By my signature below, I certify that on March 5, 2013, I served the foregoing document

via electronic mail to all the other parties listed below:

GREG ABBOTT
Attorney General of Texas
DANIEL T. HODGE

First Assistant Attorney General

DAVID C. MATTAX

Deputy Attorney General for Defense Litigation

ROBERT B. O'KEEFE

Chief, General Litigation Division

SHELLEY N. DAHLBERG

Assistant Attorney General Texas

Texas Attorney General's Office

General Litigation Division

P. 0. Box 12548, Capitol Station

Austin, Texas 78711

Fax: (512) 320-0667

Attorneys for Defendants

Mark R. Trachtenberg HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

1 Houston Center

1221 McKinney St., Suite 2100

Houston, Texas 77010

Fax: (713) 547-2600

John W. Turner

HAYES AND BOONE, LL?

2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700

Dallas, Texas 752 12

Fax: (214) 651-5940

Richard Gray Toni Hunter

GRAY & BECKER, P.C.

900 West Ave.

Austin, Texas 78701

Fax: (512) 482-0924

Randall B. Wood

Doug W. Ray

RAY & WOOD

2700 Bcc Caves Road #200

Aus in Texas 78746

Fax: (512) 328-1156

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Texas Taxpayer &

Student Fairness Coalition, et al.

J. David Thompson, III

Philip Fraissinet

THOMPSON & HORTON, LLP

Phoenix Tower, Suite 2000

3200 Southwest Freeway

Houston, Texas 77027

Fax: (713) 583-9668

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Fort Bend I.S.D.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Calhoun County I.S.D., et al.

J. Christopher Diamond The Diamond Law Firm, P.C. 17484 Northwest Freeway

Ste. 150

Houston, Texas 77040 Fax: (832) 201-9262 Craig T. Enoch Melissa A. Lorber Enoch Kever PLLC 600 Congress, Ste. 2800 Austin, Texas 78701 Fax: (512) 615-1198

Attorneys for Intervenors, Joyce Coleman, et al.

Robert A. Schulman Joseph E. Hoffer Ricardo R. Lopez 517 Soledad Street San Antonio, Texas 78205-1508 Telephone: (210) 538-5385 Facsimile: (210) 538-5384

Attorneys for Texas Charter Schools Association, et al.

s/David G. Hinojosa David G. Hinojosa

#### CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-03130

TEXAS PAYER & STUDENT FAIRNESS COALTION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

EDGEWOOD INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al., (consolidated)

Plaintiffs,

٧.

MICHAEL WILLIAMS, in his Official Capacity as the COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION, et al.,

Defendants.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

2507H JUDICIAL DISTRICT

### AFFIDAVIT OF ROGER L. RICE

NOW COMES Roger L. Rice, co-counsel for Edgewood Plaintiffs in the above entitled matter and hereby swears and affirm the following:

- I graduated from Yale University in 1965 and from the University of Pennsylvania
   Law Schoo' in 1968. I am admitted to practice in Connecticut, Massachusetts and
   the District of Columbia and am a member of the bar of the 1st, 5th, 8th, 10th and
   District of Columbia United States Courts of Appeals and the Eastern District of
   Texas, District of Massachusetts and District of Columbia.
- 2. I have specialized in educational civil rights litigation since 1970 and particularly in cases regarding the rights of limited English proficient students and low income students to bilingual education. I have also been actively involved in school finance

litigation. I was co-counsel at trial in this court with MALDEF in Edgewood v. Kirby, 777 S.W.2d 391 (Tex. 1989)(on appeal) and in West Orange-Cove v. Neeley, 176 S.W. 3rd 746 (on appeal) also trial counsel in U.S. v. Texas Education Agency (Bilingual) (1979); Keyes v. Denver School District, No. 1 (1983); and Castaneda v. Pickard (1981) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas in Brownsville. I participated in Lau v. Nichols (1974), the leading case in this area, both at the Court of Appeals and Supreme Court levels and in other language rights and educational equity cases in Massachusetts (Boston, Lynn, Lowell, Worcester, Randolph, Springfield, Holyoke), Rhode Island, California, New York, Texas, New Mexico, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Florida and North Carolina.

- 3. I have 43 years experience as a practicing civil rights lawyer. Since 1983 I have been Executive Director of Multicultural Education, Training and Advocacy, Inc., a national public interest civil rights legal organization with offices in Massachusetts and California which speciatizes in the educational rights of immigrant, non-English speaking and other poor and minority schoolchildren to equal educational opportunity. During the past 20 years our office has either brought or been asked to assist and contrib on virtually every reported case involving the rights of immigrant schoolchildren. Before that I was staff attorney at the Harvard Center for Law and Education in Cambridge, Massachusetts for 14 years. My legal work at the Center usain focused on the educational rights of non-English speaking and minority schoolchildren.
- 4. My co-counsel in this matter is Attorney Miguel Perez Vargas. Attorney Perez Vargas graduated from Interamerican University of Puerto Rico in 1986 and from the Interamerican University Law School in 1989. He is admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States District Court for the District of

Puerto Rico, the United States District Court for the District of Colorado and is member of the bar of the 1st United States Courts of Appeals. He has also been admitted to practice *pro hac vice* before the following courts: the United States District Court for the District of Alabama, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois and the United States Court of Appeals for the 11th Cir.

- Attorney Perez Vargas has been involved since 1990 as a Staff Attorney, now Senior Staff Attorney at Multicultural Education, Training & Advisacy, Inc.
- 6. In his 23 years as an attorney he has practiced law it the areas of civil rights, labor and employment law, and has handled cases of police misconduct, employment discrimination and education civil rights particularly regarding the rights of linguistic minority schoolchildren. He is currently co-counsel in a number of education civil rights cases including, in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, in Federal District Court for the District of Colorado; in the Federal District Court for the Southern District of Florida and in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico among others.
- 7. In 2004, this Court awarded attorney fees for my work in West Orange Cove based upon an Austin rate at the time of \$350 per hour. Based upon our experience since then, I believe that an attorney with similar years of experience in a specialized area of the law would normally command an hourly rate in the range of \$350-\$450 in Austin. I believe that based upon such local rates my hourly rate in this matter should be \$420. I also believe that based upon such local rates my co-counsel Miguel Perez Vargas' hourly rate would be \$325.
- This affidavit is made in support of the Edgewood Plaintiffs' motion for an award of costs and attorneys' fees under the Uniform Declaratory Judgment Act ("UDJA"),

Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 37.009, with respect to Edgewood Plaintiffs' claims for declaratory relief under the UDJA. The summary of hours expended for legal work directly related to this case and attached hereto is based on contemporaneous records maintained by me throughout the course of this litigation. The time represented herein was reasonable and necessary to the successful prosecution of the Edgewood Plaintiffs' UDJA claims. The attached statement of work performed, as I shall endeavor to explain, reflects only a part of the time over this period which was spent on this case. This time amounts to 629.95 hours for Poger Rice and 235.75 for Attorney Miguel Perez Vargas. Our office has also incurred costs of \$4,408 for travel connected with this matter as of today. In sum the claim for our office is \$341,197.75 in fees (\$ 264,579 for Attorney Rice, \$ 76,618.75 for Attorney Perez Vargas plus \$4,408 in costs for a total of \$ 345,605.75. Our detailed time sheets are attached to this affidavit.

9. Although my involvement win the issues in this case began some 27 years ago in the Edgewood case, and again in West Orange Cove in 2004, our participation as co-counsel in the instructingation began at the end of October 2011. The current litigation involved issues of the adequacy and suitability of current programs and resources for students as well as the need for equity as between wealthy and poor school districts. The state and the nation have recently embarked on an ambitious effort to dramatically increase the standards of public education in every aspect. Texas, with its new STAAR assessments, aims to ensure that every student achieves at college and career ready world-class standards of education. This has placed unique demands on school districts, and particularly low wealth school districts with poor and limited English proficient students. The resulting legal issues are similarly complex and demanding.

- 10. META, Inc. was asked by the attorneys for the Edgewood Plaintiffs, to become cocounsel and to be responsible for all aspects of the case and to play a lead role in
  those aspects that concerned bilingual education and the education of limited English
  proficient students. This included, but in no ways has been limited to, preparation of
  expert witness testimony, working with fact witnesses and consulting experts, review
  of the adequacy of the current weight for bilingual education in Texas, deposing
  witnesses for the defendants who may have knowledge of these issues, collecting and
  analyzing voluminous amounts of data from TEA and local districts that pertains to
  their populations of limited English proficient students and similar trial preparation
  work.
- 11. The work described above, however, could not be easily confined. In preparing our case we were concerned, for example, with issues of the shortage of certified bilingual and ESL teachers, the need for teacher training, pre-school for LEP students, the interpretation or assessment results on the TAKS and STAAR examinations, and the adequacy of funding for programs for LEP and immigrant students and other poin students. This meant that we were compelled to thoroughly understand the testimony of virtually all of the many dozens of expert witnesses called by all parties because in nearly every case the area of expertise could or did intersect with issues of adequate and suitable education for limited English children.
- 12. Within the context of this complex case our office had several roles. First, I consulted by phone and email on a constant basis about all aspects of the case with lead counsel David Hinojosa including legal and trial strategies. Second, I reviewed and commented upon all filings by the Edgewood Plaintiffs and reviewed all filings by all parties. Third, I shared the role of identifying potential Plaintiff experts including researching their writings and testimony in other cases. Fourth, I was chiefly responsible for preparing the parts of the case concerning English Language

Learners (ELLs), including but not limited to the performance of ELLs on TAKS, TELPAS and STAAR, and what constitutes an adequate and suitable education program for ELLs. The latter included research on pre-K for ELLs, hiring and training of teachers, the need for materials and technology and support services among other aspects. Fifth, Attorney Perez Vargas and I worked directly to prepare Edgewood Plaintiffs experts on these and other ELL related issues including analysis of ELL funding weights in Texas and nationally. Attorney Perez Vargas was principally charged with reviewing data on the current programs and resources for ELL students in the Edgewood plaintiff school districts. Sixth, I analyzed the data on ELLs presented by the Defendants' ELL victuesses and assisted in preparing deposition and trial examination of those witnesses. Seventh, I reviewed the expert testimony of all witnesses and helped in the examination of those witnesses including but not limited to national school in nee experts and experts on teachers and student outcomes. Eighth, I reviewed the deposition and trial testimony of all witnesses in terms of ELL students. Nuch, I researched and prepared for the examination of the Charter Intervenors vittlesses. Tenth, Attorney Perez Vargas drafted and I reviewed and edited the proparation of Edgewood's Pleas to the Jurisdiction of the Charter Intervenors claims. Finally, during June the Defendants responded to Edgewood Plaintiff, discovery by submitting in excess of 100,000 pages of documents as well as the links to a great many Texas Education Agency websites. The Defendants also produced many additional documents in response to the discovery requests of the other plaintiffs. I was chiefly responsible for reviewing and analyzing those documents for trial.

13. The 629.95 hours listed here in connection with this work, as mentioned above, reflect only a fraction of the entire time spent in this matter. I have carefully reviewed my records and, for example, as a reasonable billing judgment deleted more

than 350 additional hours that I believe would be otherwise compensable. For example, in the nature of the work of our small office, Attorney Perez Vargas and I work closely as a team. It is my estimate that during the approximately 40 weeks between March and the end of November 2012, Attorney Perez Vargas and myself conferred daily on this case. While we have listed in the attached hours, a few of these conferences, my best judgment is that there were an additional 100 hours of such conferences over this period for which we have not billed. In addition, I consulted almost daily during this period with co-lead triet attorney David Hinojosa and other co-counsel. Save for conference calls of the entire legal team, most of these conferences are not claimed for. I also delated all time spent in reviewing and analyzing discovery produced by the Defendants to the Fort Bend, Calhoun County and Coalition plaintiffs and the Charter Intervenors all of which I estimate amounted to another 250 hours during the July-J muary period.

- 14. Similarly, Attorney Perez Vargas' time does not include many additional hours spent in this matter. For example, he has, as a reasonable billing judgment excluded more than 100 hours spent in conferences over 40 weeks with Attorney Rice. He has further deleted all time spent in reviewing and analyzing pleadings filed by the Defendants, the Fort Bend, Calhoun County and Coalition plaintiffs and the Charter Intervence, all of which is estimated to amount to another 150 hours during the July-January period. He has also excluded many hours spent in conference and/or email correspondence with individual MALDEF co-counsel and support staff which would amount to an additional 36 hours. He has also not billed the review of close to 500 emails from co-counsel. Finally, Attorney Perez Vargas has billed for only half of his travel time in connection with attending depositions and the trial.
- 15. In sum given the complexity of and importance of the issues in this matter, I believe that the award sought is "reasonable and just' within the applicable legal standards.

Real Rice, Esq.
Roger L. R

# Exhibit 1 Time Sheet for Roger L. Rice Texas Payer & Student Fairness Coalition, Edgewood ISD et al v. Williams

2011		
November		
	review MALDEF school finance info sheet, discuss w. DH	1
December		
	Preview, comment on draft of Plaintiffs' Original Petition	7, 2
12	review Colorado school finance decision re: ELLs, experts review and edit draft of final Original Edgewood Petition	1.5
2012		1.5
January		
	review letter from San Benito ESL teacher, email to DH re: plaintiff districts	0.5
	review policy study on Texas ELL grad from potential expert, comment to DH	0.8
	confer w. DH re; strategy	0.75
	review letter from San Benito ESL teacher, email to DH re: plaintiff districts review policy study on Texas ELL grad from potential expert, comment to DH confer w. DH re: strategy review Defendants' Original Answer review reports from potential experts filed in Colorado finance case	0.5
24	review reports from potential experts filed in Colorado finance case	2
Feb	*	
2	review articles on remarks of defendant Scott	0.1
3	review draft of counsel agreement and email to DH re: experts Baker, Funcombe	0.25
6	review latest draft of proposed scheduling order	0.1
	confer in D.C. w. potential expert DP	1
	review West Orange Cove FOF, confer w. DH re: using historical record	0,5
	review documents on STAAR from expert DP	1
	research TAKS results for LEPs, non-LEPS from TEA website	2
15	review DH list for fact gathering at client districts,	
	compare with WOC FOFs on bilingual, revise and send	1
	review TAKS results for three years for plaintif discricts	2
, de	review AEIS reports for three years for plaintiff uistricts	1.5
70	review TEA website re: STAAR	2.5
17	review proposed scheduling order draft email to potential expert A.Cort 22 re: proof	0.25
15	email to MB re: date for STAAR data, review response	0.5 0.1
	plainitiffs' attorneys bi-weekly co. f. call to review scheduling proposal	0.1
20	review draft proposed order from MT	0.25
	begin review of teacher preparation for LEPs data on TEA website	0.5
	confer with expert A. Cortez re: STAAR proof approach	1
	outline LEP proof, expr. * reports, state data and documents	0.5
24	review plea in interrelation	0.5
	review proposec of a-trial agreement	0.2
March		
3	meeting with texas school finance litigation expert, Al Kaufman to	
	discuss trial scrategy	2
5	review pretrial draft agreements	0.1
	research Texas federally funded bilingual/ESL teacher dev. Grants	0.5
8	confer w. DH, re: Interviews w. pl districts, experts, strategy	1
	review FAST report for plaintiff districts, send summary to DH	1
	research cost of stipends for billingual teachers	0.25
16	review research on ELL early childhood and achievement	0.4
	review research study on Educational Trajectory of ELLs in Texas	1
4.4	review latest draft of comment interest agreement	0.2
	review draft of Edgewood First Amended Petition	0.3
20	review Snapshot of district wealth from TEA website and send to DH	0.4
	review vita of potential expert on bilingual	0,1

	26 review latest draft of Edgewood First Amended Petition	0.1
	confer w. DH re: constitutional standard	0.1
	29 email to DH re: interrogatory questions, possible experts	0.3
	29 confer w. DH	1.2
	30 review sample pleas to jurisdiction, discuss w. MPV	1
April		
	2 review Defendants Reponse to Requests for Disclosure	0.25
	4 review Calhoun County ISD Pl. First RFP	0.25
	5 review parties Rule 11 agreement	0.1
	6 Interview expert Izquierdo	1
	review DH adequacy proof issues	0.5
	7 email to DH re: interview with expert Izquierdo	0.2
	review expert reports from West Orange Cove case	1
	review expert reports from West Orange Cove case 9 confer w. DH re: expert 10 review Fort Bend Plaintiffs' First RFP 11 review drafts of pretrial scheduling agreements, confer w. co-counsel review letter from charter intervenors sent by court review and comment on draft of Edgewood First Discovery Requests	0.25
	10 review Fort Bend Plaintiffs' First RFP	0.25
	11 review drafts of pretrial scheduling agreements, confer w. co-counsel	1
	review letter from charter intervenors sent by court	0.25
	review and comment on draft of Edgewood First Discovery Requests	0.4
	12 confer w. DH re: expert Odden, successful schools study, prepare outline in	
	bilingual experts	0.75
	13 review further drafts of scheduling agreements	0.75
	review expert Odden article on school finance adequacy	0.75
	17 email to DH re: state data to request	0.1
	19 communications w. DH re: plea to jurisdiction, special exceptions	0.25
	review and edit draft of motion to strike charter intervence; onlea in intervention	AC LINE SO
	20 research writings of Mark Hurley, witness for Efficiency intervenors	1.75
	confer w. DH re: expert LDH conference	0.25
	23 review Plaintiffs' RFD to the Efficiency Intervenors	0.25
	24 review final Edgewood Plaintiffs First Discovery Request to Defendant	0.5
	27 edit draft of Plea to Jurisdiction, confer w. co-counsel MPV	1.5
	30 complete draft of Plea and send to DH	1.25
May	50 complete drait of field and seria to bet	1.25
	1 review Fort Bend Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production	0.25
	2 meeting w. expert Levin and plain.c. 's lawyers	0.5
	discussion w. DH re: status of excerts	1
	review First Amended Plea in In elivention	0.25
	begin review of potential experts suggested by Dr. Levin	1.25
	3 edit draft of Special Exceptions, confer w. DH	
	4 research Texas NCLB 7 to III performance report	0.75
	5 review TEA STAAR-500 questions from TEA website, send to DH w. comments	1.75
	7 confer w. Stanford University potential experts on implementation of standards	0.5
		0.5
	review Defendants Reponse to Calhoun County ISD First RFP	0.25
	8 review research article on charter schools, KIPP efficacy, send to DH	0.5
	review Second Amended Plea in Intervention	0.25
	11 review CCISD Request for Disclosure to Intervenors	0.1
	14 review Defendants' Responses to Fort Bend ISD Pl. 1st RFP	1
	15 review contracts with experts DP, EI	0.25
	review memo from education law center re: experts in school finance cases	0.3
	16 review Defendants' data file information to all counsel	0.25
	19 email to and from DH re: expert Belfield conversation	0.2
	research Talent Search Program referred to by Belfield, send to DH	0.5
	21 conference call w. expert Goldenberg re: standards implementation proof;	0.75
	send Information on STAAR and College Readiness to expert	
	21 conference call w. Stanford University educational experts	0.5
	22 confer w. DH	0.2

and the second control of the second control

	23	confer w. DH, expert Belfield	0.25
		confer w. all plaintiffs' counsel re: data, experts	1
		confer w. expert P. Lopez, STAAR/TAKS	0.5
		compare Edgewood data request, AG proposed file, draft email	
		to DH, confer w. MPV	1
	23	draft email to expert Pompa re: data	0.25
	24	confer w. expert Rumberger re: drop outs	0.75
		confer w. J. Barra re: Austin charter school data	0.3
	25	confer w. DH	0.5
		confer w. expert Rumberger re: drop outs	70.5
		draft communication to DH re: Rumberger conference	0.5
	27	review research of Rumberger on dropouts and studies cited	2.5
	29	confer w. D.H. re: expert testimony	0.75
		review Edgewood ISD Pl. Response to Intervenors' Request for Disclosure	0.4
	30	call expert Belfield	0.1
	30	review Def. response to Edgewood RFA and RFP	2
		confer w. experts Goldenburg, Saunders, Marceletti re: ramp up	0.75
lune			
	1	begin research on cost of transition research suggested by Goldenburg	
		et al	2
		research Pioneer Institute, Fordham Institute Common Core cost strains	2
	2	complete research on cost of transition studies, send to DH w. com. cont	1.5
		begin review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	2.5
	3	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3.5
	4	review Defendants' Responses to Fort Bend ISD Pl. 2nd RFF	2
	5	review agreed data set from TEA	0.25
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood P.FP	3
	6	review communications between plaintiffs' counsel re: testimony on attracting	
		teachers, higher standards and ELLS	0.5
		review Plaintiffs' RFP and First Set of Interrogatories to Defendants	0.5
	7	review defendants' proposal re: scope of date sets	0.1
		continue review of Defendants' response * Edgewood RFP	3
	8	review recent STAAR EOC results, send to experts	0.5
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	9	review Texas based U.S. Dept. of Ed. grants for proof, development for ELLs and	
		higher standards, email summ: ry to DH	2
	10	review data from CA experts on cost of whole school reform	0.5
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	11	email to and from DH r.: state data set and ELLs	0.3
		continue review of Palandants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review in Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	14	confer w. DP.	0.5
		continue re 'iew of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	15	confer w. DH	0.2
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	:3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	18	confer w. DH	0.2
		call between plaintiffs' counsel and AG re: 'seminar for the judge'	0.3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	20	review Defendants Response to Intervenors RFP	0.1
		research and send to DH data on district stipends for bilingual, ELL teachers	0.5
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood REP	-3

	21	review writings of expert Vigdor, review notes on Vigdor Interview, email	
	4.3	to DH re: writings on immigrants, culture	1.5
	22	review latest draft of Plea to Jurisdiction from DH	0.75
	2. 1.	confer w. MALDEF re: problems accessing AG FTP files, incl. AG letter	0.75
	23	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	Ņ.W	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	24	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review Defendants Responses to Calhoun Cty, Fort Bend	0.25
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	26	send DH topics for Commissioner deposition	7 25
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	. C 3
	27	review expert Clotfelter, Ladd, Vigdor writings on teacher quality	
		and costs and student achievement	3
		review expert witness vitaes Jacob, Figlio	0.5
		confer w. expert Clotfelter and DH	0.25
	28	confer w. expert Vigdor, memo to counsel about expert	1
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	29	review letter from court re: schedule	0.25
		review Defendants' First RFP to Plaintiffs	0.25
		review email from DH re: experts Klein and Linn projected testimony, respond	0.25
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	30	review expert Belfield's report on economic value of education in invas, email	
		to DH re: missing data	0.75
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
July			
	1	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood Pr?	3
	2	conference call w. counsel and expert Vigdor	1
		review revised expert Belfield report	0.25
		review letters from counsel to the court	0.25
	3	confer w. DH	0.3
		continue review of Defendants' response to F. gewood RFP	3
	4	review experts Klein, Linn re: testing, STA 4R/TAKS, confer w. DH on questions	0.3
	2.7	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	5	review defendants Supplemental reconses to Ft. Bend 1st RFP	0.25
	ند	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	.3,
		continue review of Defendant as ponse to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defenda (t.) response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defence its response to Edgewood RFP	3
	9	review Defendants cor. hand responses to RFP	0.3
	10	continue review of Perandants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	.3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		confer w. expert DP	0.5
	1.7	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	15	review Defendants' RFP first responses and compare with Edgewood requests	2
		send DH memo showing pages of Def, responses for each request	0.75
		review Calhoun County ISD PI. First Set of Interrogatories to Def.	0.25
	17	confer w. DH, Interns re: responses to Edgewood RFPs	0.5
		review Def. 4th Suppl. Response to Ft. Bend 1st RFP	0.25
	18	review latest draft of brief re: Plea to Jurisdiction from DH	0.5
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	- <del>- 1,-</del> ,
	19	complete review of Cortez report, comments to DH	1
		review Defendants Supp. Responses to RFP's for Texas Taxpayer and Edgewood ISD	1

	20	review Def. document on LEPs in preschool, send memo to DH	1
		confer w. counsel re: depositions, scheduling, other matters	0.3
		email to DH re: above conference	0.1
		confer w. DH	0.2
	21	email to and from expert Izquierdo and co-counsel MPV and DH re: site visits	1
		review study on ELL weights, send to DH	1.25
	22	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		confer w. expert DP	1
		confer w. DH	0.1
	24	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	<b>2</b> 3
		review Def. responses to Edgewood RFPs for RFPs for which there is no reply	. 6
		email to and from expert Izquierdo and co-counsel MPV and DH re: completing	
		expert reports	0.75
	26	review expert DP report	1.5
	27	review First Amended PTJ-MTD as to Intervenors Second Am. Plea in Intervention	0.25
		review Annotated Summary of Finances for Judge Dietz	0.75
		email to and from counsel and experts re: extension for filing expert reports	0.5
	28	review expert report of Izquierdo, comments to MPV, confer w DH	2
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review Defendants Initial Disclosures to Texas Taxpayers	0.25
		review letter from Defs re: Supplemental Response to Edgewood RF	0.25
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	31	review counsel letter to Judge Dietz re: experts; Defs supplemental responses	
		to FERPA protected RFPs	0.5
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RF.	3
August		•\$	~
•	1	review Ft. Bend, Calhoun, Coalition Pls. Responses to St. te's First RFPs	1
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	2.5
	2	review, comment to MPV, DH re: expert Izquierd report	1
		continue review of Defendants' response to Eugawood RFP	2.75
	3	continue review of Defendants' response to Elgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3 3
-		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendant ; es ponse to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue review of Defendant 'response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review Ft. Bend Pls. Fourtl & mended Petition, TEA Response to Calhoun Cty	· <del></del>
		1st Interrogatory	0.25
		continue review of Perendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	11	review Edgeword *ponse to Def. 1st RFP	0.25
		continue review or Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		continue revie.: of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	13	review Well'ace deposition	1.25
		review Edgewood discovery log	0.5
		review Defs supp response to Edgewood RFPs, Ft. Bend 1st and 2nd RFPS	0.4
	14	review Duncombe cost study, confer w. DH	1
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	2.5
	15	research Duncombe cost studies in other states	1
		review Defs discovery response to Edgewood re: additional requests needed	1
		review izquierdo draft report , confer w. MPV, DH	1.5
	16	email to DH re: bilingual funding information in Def. Int. response to	#14
		Texas Taxpayers	0.5
		review draft of expert Vigdor report, comment to DH	1.5
		draft comment to Turner re: Vigdor draft	0.25
		·	

		review depositions of Davis, Gaertner, Supt. Garza	3
	17	draft further comments to DH and counsel re: Vigdor, Duncombe	0.75
		review deposition of Supt. Witte	1.75
		review expert Baker's report, email to and from DH	1
	18	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	19	draft expert designation for Pompa, review/edit designation for Izquierdo	1
		review Izquierdo school visit findings, confer w. MPV, DH	2
	20	review deposition of Supt. Patek	1
		review deposition of Supt. Pfeifer	1
		review Edgewood expert reports and designations	<b>Q</b> 2
	21	draft email re: Defs answers to Calhoun Cty and Edgewood Interrogs.	1.5
		review deposition of Knight 126	1.25
		review Defendants Interrogatories and RFP to Edgewood	1
		review TEA supp. Response to Ft. Bend 1st RPP No 5	0.1
	23	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review Ft. Bend Pls. Second Interrogs and Fourth RFP to Def.	0.25
		review Murdock expert report	2.75
	25	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review Moak expert report	1.75
		review deposition of Supt. Dupree	1
		review deposition of Supt. Chambers	1.1
		review expert deposition schedule	0.1
	28	review deposition of Supt. French	0.75
		review briefs of the parties on law of the case	1.5
	29	review Defendants Interrogatories and RFP to Edgewood review TEA supp. Response to Ft. Bend 1st RPP No 5 continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP review Ft. Bend Pls. Second Interrogs and Fourth RFP to Def. review Murdock expert report continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP review Moak expert report review Moak expert report review deposition of Supt. Dupree review deposition of Supt. Chambers review expert deposition schedule review deposition of Supt. French review briefs of the parties on law of the case review Lesley expert report review deposition of Supt. Reedy	2
		review deposition of Supt. Reedy	1
		draft analysis of McAdams, Efficiency expert, send to Th	1
		review Pierce and Colbert expert reports	2
	31	draft analysis of Calhoun Cty expert Harris, send to UH	1.25
		review Casey, Klein and Schanzenbach expert reports	1.5
Sept		• &	
•	1	review deposition of Supt. Reedy	0.8
		continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	2.25
	2	review TEA discovery response for inferences to Coultress, Ayala	3
		research TEA website for references to Coultress, Ayala	2.5
		review deposition of Supt. Sco (2)	1.75
		review draft of Edgewood response to Def. Interrog., edit comments to DH	1.25
		review Edgewood districts o mmary logs	0.5
	5	review deposition of Handshek	1
		review deposition of Supt. Glicrease	1
	6	review Harris rary (5-10, draft comment to DH	1.25
		draft comment to DH re: analysis of Odden report re: ELLs	0.5
		research Odde reports on Washington State, Wyoming, national for ELL weights	2
	7	review Dei. Supp. Responses to Intervenors, Edgewood, Calhoun ISD	0.25
	8	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
	9	research TEA documents authored by Coultress or Ayala	2,5
		continue research of TEA Coultress or Ayala documents, comment to DH	1.5
		review TEA Comprehensive Annual Report re: LEP data	1.75
	11	review deposition schedule, draft analysis of Duncombe, Colbert reports and	
		and prior writings re: ELLs and send to DH	2
	12	review expert Cortez documents re: bilingual costs	1.5
		research bilingual ed best practices from TEA responses to discovery	1.25
		research data on SSI and LEPS and LEP preschool from TEA responses, summarize	
		to DH	1.5
		review TEA responses for 2010-2011 financial data, send information to DH	0.9

		confer w. expert DP	0.4
		prepare for Givens deposition	1.5
	14	attend deposition of Anita Givens	3.7
		confer w. DH re: TELPAS data from TEA website, Givens deposition	0.5
	15	continue review of Defendants' response to Edgewood RFP	3
		review expert reports for Barnett	2.5
		review deposition of Belfield	1.5
		review deposition of Supt. Cain	1.25
		review deposition of Supt. Ponce	Ø ₂
	18	review deposition of Supt. Burns	1.5
		review deposition of Supt. Kincannon	1
		begin review of Ayala report	1.5
		email to and from MPV re: prep of Pompa, Izquierdo	0.5
	19	review deposition of Harris	1
		review deposition of Supt. Hanks	1.1
		email to and from MPV re: Gandara report, Izquierdo underlying notes,	1
		and Ayala report	*
		review order on Edgewood Plea to Jurisdiction	0.25
	20	review deposition of Lesley	0.5
	200	continue review of documents to Ayala report	2
	21	review deposition of Supt. Hoke	2
	***	review TEA responses to Edgewood RFP	0,25
	22	review defendants' expert Podgursky reports	2.75
		draft prep questions for expert witness Vigdor	2.75
	2.5	review Cortez report	2.75
	24	begin review of Ayala report email to and from MPV re: prep of Pompa, Izquierdo review deposition of Harris review deposition of Supt. Hanks email to and from MPV re: Gandara report, Izquierdo underlying notes, and Ayala report review order on Edgewood Plea to Jurisdiction review deposition of Lesley continue review of documents to Ayala report review deposition of Supt. Hoke review TEA responses to Edgewood RFP review defendants' expert Podgursky reports draft prep questions for expert witness Vigdor review Cortez report review deposition of Colbert	2.75
	47	confer w. DH	0.3
		conference call Vigdor prep	0.5
		review witness Kallison data, review discovery responses from plaintiffs	0.75
	25	review deposition of Supt. Cervantes	2.25
	***	review Odden reports and draft notes to LS	2.25
	26	review deposition of Supt. Blincoe	
	20	review deposition of Givens	1,25
		prepare for Odden deposition	1.5 1.5
		review Edgewood response to FeT discovery	0.5
	27	review deposition of Barnett	1.25
		Odden deposition	5.5
		confer w. DH re: Izquier in notes, further deposition	0.5
	***	confer w. MPV re: further Izquierdo deposition	0.25
		réview Baker repc n	
	20	review supplemental Vigdor, draft notes to DH	2.75
	· Const	review expert sports for Duncombe, Parker	1
	30	email to Am, Federson re: Ayala data and Coultress dep. Prep	3.5
	30	review expert report for Hill	0.5
Oct		torion experience of this	2.5
OC.	1	send list of data needed for Ayala deposition to DH	عر ۷
		review TELPAS data on TEA Pearson website	0.75
		confer w. MPV re: Izquierdo data	1.25
		review revised Vigdor report	0.5
	2	review deposition of Miles	0.25
		send Duncombe research to Bono	1.2
		send DH analysis of Ayala report and data on long term ELLs	0.25
		review deposition of Pompa	2
		review deposition of Supt. Waggoner	2
		series ackaninas di sakit stattanta.	1

1.5

13 review deposition of Supt. Salazar

	review deposition of Pierce	1
4	review deposition of Klein	1.
	review TEA supplemental responses to Edgewood, confer w. MPV re: ELL experts	0.75
	continue Ayala data analysis	2
	confer w. DH	0.4
5	review deposition of Vigdor	1.75
	review deposition of Roska	1
	research prior Ayala case testimony and send to DH	1
	review Defendants letter re: missing Ayala data, confer w. MPV	0.5
6	research TEA preschool data and send to DH, review response	7,75
	review cost of common core implementation research, send to DH and counsel	0.5
	continue Ayala analysis	2.5
7		2.75
	continue Ayala data analysis	1.5
8	draft Edgewood FOFs on ELLs and TELPAS	2
	review deposition of Lopez	1
	review deposition of Supt. Youngblood	1
9	continue drafting FOFs on ELLs, send to MPV	1.5
	review defendants' expert Whitehurst report continue Ayala data analysis draft Edgewood FOFs on ELLs and TELPAS review deposition of Lopez review deposition of Supt. Youngblood continue drafting FOFs on ELLs, send to MPV review Edgewood response to charter school plaintiffs discovery analyze Ayala back up data re: testing of former ELLs review deposition of Supt. Ponce confer w. DH	0.3
	analyze Ayala back up data re: testing of former ELLs	1
	review deposition of Supt. Ponce	2
	confer w. DH	0.1
10	prepare additional Edgewood bilingual exhibit lists, send to DH	2.5
	research and send DH prior Guthrie school finance work in NY	0.75
	confer w. DH	1
11	review deposition of Podgursky	1.8
	prepare additional exhibits, confer w. Izquierdo re: e. hi it	1
12	prepare bilingual FOFs and exhibits	2.5
	continue preparation of bilingual FOFs and exhibit	2
	review defendants' expert Guthrie report	2.5
14	review deposition of Parker	1
	review deposition of Scott	1.5
	review exhibits from Cortez report, confer w. DH	1.75
	review updated draft exhibit list, see d comments, additional exhibits to DH	1.5
15	review deposition of Casey	1
	review deposition of Odden	2
	review deposition of Cortez	2.15
16	confer w. DH	0.5
	draft bilingual FOFs, seen to DH	2.75
17	review deposition of Kinison	1
	draft to DH additional FOFS on bilingual statutory, regulatory requirements	2
	confer w. MPV conzequierdo deposition	0.5
18	review and calculate ELL retention rate data	1
	review Fdb wood proposed FOF-COL draft, edit to DH	1.75
19	review Edgewood amended exhibit list, comment to DH	1
	prepare Pompa direct testimony outline and send to MPV	1.5
กร	review report on school funding by expert Baker	0.5
-	compile Coultress deposition cites and send to DH	1
	review deposition of Ayala	2,5
	confer w. DH	0,75
21	confer w. expert DP	0.25
-7-7-	review Edgewood FOF draft, comment to Maribel Rivera	0.23
	review deposition of Schroeder	1.5
22	review TTSFC Trial Brief	0.2
	review depositions of Supts. Roy, Frost	2.75
	ing room as, regardered to the market of the partition of the contract of the	Anna di sadi

23	review deposition of Baker	1.8
	review deposition of Moak	1
24	review deposition of Hill	1.25
	review deposition of Whitehurst	1.3
	send DH analysis of Ayala deposition	1
25	review deposition of Guthrie	1.8
29	review deposition of izquierdo	1
	review deposition of Schanzenbach	2
30	review deposition of Supt. Bamberg	1.25
31	confer w. MPV re: factual basis for Pompa, Izquierdo testimony	<b>_0</b> 1
November		
1	review deposition of defendants expert Coultress	1.75
	review deposition of Day	1
	review deposition of Coleman	1
3	review MPV outline of experts direct testimony, comment	1
4	review exhibits to be admitted from Pompa, Izquierdo reports w. MPV	0.5
5	review outline of bilingual experts testimony w. MPV, edit	1
6	review expert Barnett's powerpoint testimony	0.5
	review exhibits to be admitted on ELL retention and dropouts, send to M.º /	0.75
7	review Defendants 2nd supplemental response to Edgewood plaintiffs	0.25
	review Pompa and Izquierdo powerpoints, edit and comment, send to wiPV	1.25
9	email co-counsel re: Carstarphen testimony and Edgewood exhibit. Objected to	0.25
	confer w. expert DP	0.25
	review expert DP slides, confer w. MPV about slides 11-13, 21, wissing testimony	8.0
	review Izquierdo powerpoint, final Pompa powerpoint, con fer w. MPV	1
12	confer w. expert DP	0.2
13	confer w. DH	0,5
18	review supplements to Duncombe, Odden	1
19	review Edgewood supplemental deposition designations	1
	confer w. DH	0.2
20	confer w. DH	0.6
23	review supplemental Edgewood exhibits	1
24	review deposition of state expert Givon	1.5
25	review deposition of state expert to ka	1.25
27	review trial transcripts vol. 4, 5, 7	3
28	review trial transcript vol. 8	1.25
29	review trial transcript vol. 6	1.25
30	confer w. DH	0.6
	review trial transcript 101. 9	1
December		
1	review deposition of McAdams	1
	review expert report for Bast	1.5
3	review Edgerroud Second Amended Petition	0.1
4	research e. pert Whitehurst reference to charter schools, email DH	1
	review Derendants Supplemental response to Edgewood plaintiffs	0.2
5	review Defendants' expert Whitehurst powerpoint	0.3
6	review expert report, citations for expert Moe	3.75
10	review trial transcript vol. 10	1.5
	review admitted exhibits list	0.1
12	review deposition of Wood	1
	review deposition of Venable	2
13	review transcript vol. 11	1.25
	review updated expert Podgursky powerpoint	0.5
14	review expert report for Trotter	0.75
18	review trial transcript vol. 12	1.5

19	review deposition of Moe	2
	review deposition of Abbott	1
20	review deposition of Wolters	1
	review trial transcript vol. 13	1.5
21	review Edgewood First Amended Exhibit List	0.1
	confer w. DH re: ELL FOFs on bilingual expenditures, review FOFs, underlying data	0.75
31	review deposition of Gallegos	1.75
	review deposition of Dibella	0.5
2013		
January		_0
3	review deposition of Partridge	0.8
	review trial transcript vol. 14	1.25
7	review trial transcript vol. 15	1.25
8	review trial transcript vol. 16	1.25
10	review DH outline for cross of Coultress, compare w. Coultress deposition, draft	,
	additional questions for DH based on deposition cites	1.5
13	review Ayala trial presentation docs, research "years in US school" question	
	for DH cross, email DH	2.5
14	review DH outline, edit, analyze Ayala attachments G, I, J, calculate former	
	ELLs not tested, send data to DH for cross	2
16	review deposition of Flores	1
	review deposition of Flemister	1
	review deposition of Pierce	1
23	review trial transcript vol. 17	1.25
	review trial transcript vol. 18	1.25
24	review deposition of Strohmeyer	1
27	review draft of Edgewood FOF/COL	0.75
29	review trial transcript vol. 19	1.25
30	review trial transcript vol. 20	1.25
31	review deposition of Sage	0.75
	review Definew exhibits	0.25
February		
1	confer w. DH re: admission of Gandara ( ) hibit by Defendants	0.5
	review list of Edgewood exhibits previously objected to by Defendants	0.1
2	review Edgewood Plea to Jurisdiction and Motion to Dismiss	0.25
3	review draft of Edgewood brief un Efficiency	0.4
5	review trial transcript vol. 21	0.5
5	review court's remarks and ruling	0.3
13	review trial transcript vol. 22	1
14	review draft omnibus Sudings	0.4
15	confer w. DH re: d. a.c. omnibus findings and Edgewood findings	0.5
18	begin to draft b 'ngual FOFs	2
19	revise FOFs and Degin review of rough transcripts for December, January	3.5
	review rough transcripts and edit and revise FOFs	3.5
21	edit and revise FOFs	2
22	review MP draft FOFs, continue revising FOFs	2.5
23	finish draft bilingual FOFs and sent to MB, DH	2.5

<u>629,95</u>

### Exhibit 2

Time Sheet for Miguel A. Pérez Vargas

Texas Taxpayer / Edgewood ISD v. Michael Williams

### 2011

Decem	ber		
	1	Review MALDEF school finance info sheet, spreadsheet	1
	11	Review Calhoun County ISD petition	0,5
		Review Edgewood 3rd Draft	1
	12	Review Edgewood Final Draft	
20	012		
January	1.		
	24	Review Findings of Fact and conclusions of Law WOC case	1
		Review Dr. Milk and Delia Pompa reports for the WOC case	1,
Februa	ry	Review Calhoun County ISD petition Review Edgewood 3rd Draft Review Edgewood Final Draft  Review Findings of Fact and conclusions of Law WOC case Review Dr. Milk and Delia Pompa reports for the WOC case  Review email DH re: Scheduling Order all parties Review RLR email re: Overview Edgewood V and comments Review Efficiency Intervenors petition Review Experts reports from WOC case Review Cortez, Pompa and Milk depositions from WOC case	
	13	Review email DH re: Scheduling Order all parties	0.5
	22	Review RLR email re: Overview Edgewood V and comments	0.5
	24	Review Efficiency Intervenors petition	1
	27	Review Experts reports from WOC case	2
	28	Review Cortez, Pompa and Milk depositions from WOC case	2.5
March			
	6	Review RLR email re: Dr. Izquierdo website; review website and cr. d. ntials	0.5
	8	Review RLR notes on Conf call w/DH re: experts	0.5
	27	Review Edgewood First Ammended Petition	0.5
	30	review sample pleas to jurisdiction, discuss w. RLR	1
April			
	4	Review proposed scheduling order all parties	0.25
	5	Review proposed R.11	0.25
		Review Calhoun Conty ISD First request for Production	0.25
	6	Review DH and RLR memo on Adequacy claims for Experts conference	0.5
		Review RLR notes on Conf call re:Experts incoview Dr. Izquierdo	0.5
	10	Review Fort Bend ISD First Request for Production	0.25
		Review Defendants proposed scheduling Order	0.25
	11	Review letter re: consolidation issue	0.25
	12	Review Proposed scheduling order re: dispositive motions	0.25
		Review RLR memo: Expert Are 15	0.25
	17	Review DH memo and sample on Plea to the Jurisdiction	1
	24	Review Edgewood reques, for production and admissions	1
		Research and draft Plea to the Jurisdiction	4
	27	Confer w. co-counse. SLR re: Plea to the Jurisdiction	0.5
	29	Review memo and small from RLR re: experts reports and testimony	0.5
May			
	1	Review Fort Rund Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production	0.25
	2	Review of First Amended Plea in Intervention	1
	7	Review TEA STAAR website	1
		Review Defendants responses to Calhoun first discovery	0.25
	8	Review second amended Plea in Intervention	0.5
	15	Review Expert Retainers Re; Pompa/Izquierdo	0.25
		Review Experts info from RLR	0.25
		review Defendants' Responses to Fort Bend ISD Pl. 1st RFP	1
		conference call w. Stanford University educational experts	0.5
		Confer w/RLR re: responses from AG to Edgewood	0.5
		Review : Notice of Supoena, Deposition Mark Hurley	0.25
		Review Final response from Defendants to Edgewood	2
	21	Review Planear Institute Common Core Cost Analisms	4

June			
	11	Review Fort Bend Second Amended Petition	0.5
		Review emails from RLR re; STAAR end of course results	0.25
		Review emails from DH, DR Izquierdo re: Site Visits	0.25
	21	Review Draft to Plea to the Jurisdiction	0.5
	26	Review Petition from Charter Schools Students	0.5
		Review letter from DH re: Letter to clients	0.25
	29	Review Judge Dietz letter	0.25
JULY			
	7	Conf Call Dr. Izquierdo re: Report and site visits	0.5 1 3
	16	Review Edgewood Request for Productions responses from defendants	O
		RLR Memo re: Responses and documents	1
	17	Continue reviewing Defendants responses/preparing info to experts	3
	19	Review Supplemental responses from Defendants	2
	20	Review emalls RLR, Dr. Izquierdo site visits/ report	0.25
	25	Review Pompa report	1
	26	Review RLR notes on Pompa report	0.5
	27	Review Izquierdo report	1.5
	28	Review notes from RLR re: Izquierdo Report	1
		Conf Call Dr. Izquierdo re: Report and site visits	2
	30	Review email from Dr. Izquierdo re: site visits/report	0.25
	31	Review letter to Judge Dietz re: experts	0.25
		Review Rule 11 Scheduling Order	0.25
August		Review Edgewood Request for Productions responses from defendants RLR Memo re: Responses and documents Continue reviewing Defendants responses/preparing info to experts Review Supplemental responses from Defendants Review emails RLR, Dr. Izquierdo site visits/ report Review Pompa report Review RLR notes on Pompa report Review Izquierdo report Review Izquierdo report Review notes from RLR re: Izquierdo Report Conf Call Dr. Izquierdo re: Report and site visits Review email from Dr. Izquierdo re: site visits/report Review letter to Judge Dietz re: experts Review, comment to RLR, DH re: expert Izquierdo report	
	10	Review, comment to RLR, DH re: expert Izquierdo report	1
	16	Review Izquierdo draft report , confer w. RLR, DH	1.5
	19	Draft expert designation for Izquierdo, review designation for Pompa	1
	20	Review Izquierdo school visit findings, confer w. Izquierdo, RLR, DH	3
	21	review Edgewood expert reports and designation:	2
	22	Review Defendants Interrogatories and Requests for Production	1
	28	Review Brief on Law of the case by Coalitio. I laintiffs, Fort Bend, Defendants	1.5
	30	Emails to coordinate depositions Pompc/rquierdo	0.5
Sept			
		Review deposition schedule	0.25
		Review Laura Ayala's powerpoints re: Telpas, Eli Assesment. Titlelli	2
	3	Review Edgewood preliminan answers to Defendants interrogatories	1
		Review emails from RLR an (L H re: Edgewood responses	0.25
		review Edgewood district. Fummary logs	0.5
		Drafting MPV Pro Hac 'ica Motions and sending to MALDEF	1
		Review RLR comparison of FOFs	0.25
	10	Review RLR notes on Laura Ayala's Powerpoints	1
		Review of 2016 Comprehensive Annual Report on Texas Public Schools	2
		Review RLR notes on Best Practices for Ells	0.5
		Travel from Doston to Austin and San antonio	10
	17	Meeting and Preparation w DR. Izquierdo, DH	3
		Review Dr. Izquierdo underlying data and report references	4
		Conference call w/RLR	0.5
		Review Texas CPRules Re: discovery/depositions	0.5
	18	Defend Dr. Izquierdo Deposition	4.5
		Review Laura Ayala's Expert Report	2
	19	Review RLR notes on Laura Ayala's Report	1
		Review Exhibits from Izquierdo's deposition	2
		Confer w/DH re: depositions/Pompa and Izquierdo	j.
	20	Review Ayalas Exhibits	:
		Meeting and Preparation Delia Pompa	

		neview Fornpa underlying data and report references	3
		Confer w/ DH re: Pompa deposition	0.5
	21	Defend Pompa's deposition	3.5
		Review Ayalas Exhibits	2
		Review letter from Judge Dietz re: FOFs	0.25
	26	Review RLR Odden notes	0.5
	27	Review of Edgewoods Responses to Efficiency Intervenors	0.5
		Review of Edgewood Responses to Defendants	0.5
		Review of Dr. Cortez Report	2
		Review email from AG re: Continuation of DR. Izquierdo Deposition	725
	28	Confer w. RLR re: further izquierdo deposition	0.25
Oct			
	1	Review email RLR re: Ayala data and Coultress dep. Prep Review transcript of DR. Izquierdo's deposition Email DR. Izquierdo Re; Continuation of deposition review TELPAS data on TEA Pearson website confer w. RLR re: Izquierdo data Review RLR notes on Izquierdo Deposition Conference Call w/DR. Izquierdo Review RLR notes on Ayala's Report Review Delia Pompa deposition transcript and exhibits Review RLR email notes on Ayala's numbers	0.5
		Review transcript of DR. Izquierdo's deposition	2
		Email DR. Izquierdo Re; Continuation of deposition	0.25
	2	review TELPAS data on TEA Pearson website	1.25
		confer w. RLR re: izquierdo data	0.5
		Review RLR notes on Izquierdo Deposition	0.25
		Conference Call w/DR. Izquierdo	0.25
		Review RLR notes on Ayala's Report	0.5
	-3	Review Delia Pompa deposition transcript and exhibits	2
		Review RLR email notes on Ayala's numbers	0.5
		Review TEA supplemental reponses to Edgewood, confer w. RLP (*) ELL experts	0.75
		Conf w/ RLR re: Ayala numbers/FOFs	0.75
		Review Firs draft of FOFs by RLR	0.5
		email DR Izquierdo re; Cost of credit course Bil ed	0.25
	Ŕ	Review 2nd Draft of FOFs by RLR	0.23
	u	Review Pompa and Izquierdo Reports and Exhibits to draft FOFs	
		Review Calhoun Responses to Charter group In Let Capatories	2
	۵	Review Edgewood Aditional exhibits By RLR	0.5
		Review Ponce Deposition	0.5
	30	Review Cervantes deposition	2
		Draft and send RLR Experts Pompa/Izcul > do FOFs	2
	11	Emails to Dr. Izquierdo re: Report Ex. ibit	3
			0.25
	13	Review 16 preliminary Exhibits from DH re: Izquierdo Exhibits	1.
		Review Delia Pompa exhibits	1
		Receipt and review Master Car osition Exhibit List	0.5
	10	Review Confidential Witne, Eline-up. Trial Calendar Travel Orlando- Austin/Austin- Boston	0.5
	10		6
	4."	Meeting Dr. Izquierdo : e: Preparation for deposition	2
	7.1	Defend Dr. Izquic runs Deposition	1.5
		Review Deposition Transcript Coultress	1
		Review Revisor witness line-up	0.25
	200	Review Bilingual FOFs by RLR	0.5
		Review Fun draft FOFs by RLR	1
	19	Review Supplemental report DR. Cortez and data	1
		Confer w/RLR re: Izquierdo deposition	0.5
		Review State's Master Exhibits List	0.5
		Prepare Pompa direct Testimony draft send to RLR and check reviews	-2
		Review latest Edgewood's Proposed FOFs from Maribel Hernandez	1
		Review TTSFC Trial Brief	0.25
		Review Transcript of Ayala's Deposition	2
		Review Limon PP	0.25
		Check references Delia Pompa report	3
	-30	Review Dr. Izaujerdo Denosition 10-17	1

	Review Final Preliminary FOFs	0.5
November		
1	Review Standford study 2006; check RLR notes	1
	Confer w/RLR factual basis of testimony Izquierdo/Pompa	1
	Review Pompa and Izquierdo data/ review outlines direct testimonies	3
3	Finish direct examination outlines send to RLR	3
4	Review Master Exhibit List re: outstanding objections	1
	Review exhibits for Pompa/izquierdo	1
	Ammend outline direct examination	0.5
6	Review Comments from RLR re: outlines; correct outlines; send outlines	Ø
	Pompa/Izquierdo; confer w/RLR	1.5
7		
	Review first draft Pompa Powerpoint	0.5
	Review latest exhibits on retention and drop outs from RLR	0.5
	Travel Boston-Austin TX	6
***	Confer DH,MB re: Review Outline and Exhibits for Expert Testimony	2
	Review Pompa Powerpoints; confer w/RLR re: Powerpoints/Exhibits	3
	Review expert testimony Exhibits Re: Retention and drop-outs Review first draft Pompa Powerpoint Review latest exhibits on retention and drop outs from RLR Travel Boston-Austin TX Confer DH,MB re: Review Outline and Exhibits for Expert Testimony Review Pompa Powerpoints; confer w/RLR re: Powerpoints/Exhibits Review Presentation on STAAR	
17	Preparation of Pompa for court testimony; review of exhibits and powerpoint	- 1
14	Preparation of Izquierdo for court testimony; review of exhibits and Powerpoint	4
12		4
	Review Dr. Cortez Supplemental Report	6 0.5
December	Court Hearing Pompa/Izquierdo Review Dr. Cortez Supplemental Report  Review Edgewood Second Amended Petition Review Admitted Exhibits as 12/7/12 Review Edgewood First Amended Exhibit List	0.5
	Review Edgewood Second Amended Petition	0.25
	Review Admitted Exhibits as 12/7/12	0.23
	Review Edgewood First Amended Exhibit List	0.25
2013	The same of the contract of the same same same same same same same sam	0.23
January		
	Review Hearing transcript 11-13-12 (Vol. 14)	2
	Review First Amm First Supp Depositions Designation	0.25
	Review Proposed Third AM FOF (redlined)	2
February		<del></del>
1	Review Gandara's Ex. Email, objections	0.5
-3	Review Edgwood Trial Brief on Efflacticy	0.25
	Review RLR First Draft Edgewood Hours, compare w/MPV	1.5
	Review RLR first draftfee affidavi	0.5
	Review FOF Firs draft Omnib is Findings from DH	2
	Review DH attorneys fees 👭	0.25
15	Review Esdgewood Serand Ammended Exhibit List	0.25
	Review latest FOF drait from RLR	2
17	Review witness chara	0.25
19	Finish first draft nours/fees	1,5
	Review Exhibit Master List , expert reports , Depositions and	
	Trial Trascripure: FOF drafts	3
	Receive and review Trail transcripts for Bil references (12-3-12 to 1-24-13)	2
22	Review FOFs draft from RLR, check references from Pompa/Izquierdo	3
	Conf w/ RLR re: FOFs references/Exhibit list	ì
	Continue working with FOFs references, check AEIS reports for Plaintiffs w	*
	Master Exhibit List, send draft and Confer w RLR: Exhits references	3.5
25	Finish reviewing Bil FOFs references w/Trial transcripts/depositions/expert reports	AMP & SAFE
	Pompa/izquierdo and underlying data, send draft to RLR	3
26	Draft attorneys fee aff and finish atts fees exhibit	2

Total Costs for Travel to Depositions and Trial:

\$4,408

\$1,708 16-Sep \$1,065 16-Oct \$1,635

Judicial contrains of the state of the state

2/28/2014 2:34:03 PM
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza
District Clerk
Travis County
D-1-GN-11-003130

Lit C Let Word Price Co. District Clerk Word Price Co. District Co. Di

### CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-11-03130

TEXAS PAYER & STUDENT FAIRNESS COALTION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

EDGEWOOD INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al., (consolidated)

Plaintiffs,

V.

MICHAEL WILLIAMS, in his Official Capacity as the COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION, et al.,

Defendants.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

### AFFIDAVU OF ROGER L. RICE

NOW COMES Roger L. Sice, co-counsel for Edgewood Plaintiffs in the above entitled matter and hereby swears and affirms the following:

1. This affidavice, made in further clarification of and support for my earlier affidavit in this matter. The defendants have objected to certain of the time submitted by me and my to counsel Miguel Perez Vargas. Specifically they have objected to conferences between myself and Lead Edgewood counsel David Hinojosa on March 29, May 22, May 25, June 14, June 15, June 18, October 4, October 20, November 13, November 19, November 20 and November 30. These twelve conferences, which totaled fewer than six hours, were among a much larger number of such conferences between Attorney Hinojosa and myself to discuss trial strategy, discovery, witness preparation and other aspects of the case. In point of fact I spoke with Attorney Hinojosa several

times weekly on these and other case related matters for a year or more. As a reasonable billing judgment we did not claim for the great bulk of these compensable conferences nor did Attorney Hinojosa. The six conferences are justified as part of the normal flow of trial preparation involving counsel.

2. The Defendants have also objected to the travel time of Migue! Perez Vargas to attend depositions of our expert witnesses and present those witnesses at trial. I want to stress that the travel time claimed on September 16, October 16 and November 11 was half (50%) of Attorney Perez Vargas' travel time (1c. we have claimed for one-way of the travel but not for the return portion.

Koger L. Kice, Esq.

March 27, 2013